

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

<u>Pı</u>

| roject Information |
|---|
| Project Name: 10200-Isom-Ave |
| HEROS Number: 900000010368261 |
| Responsible Entity (RE): ST. JOHNS COUNTY, 200 SAN SEBASTIAN VIEW ST. AUGUSTINE FL, 32084 |
| State / Local Identifier: |
| RE Preparer: Marie Guilfoyle |
| Certifying Office r: |
| Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity): |
| Point of Contact: |
| Consultant (if applicabl N/A e): |
| Point of Contact: Caleena Shirley |
| Project Location: 10200 Isom Ave, Hastings, FL 32145 |
| Additional Location Information: N/A |
| Direct Comments to: |

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Single family housing rehabilitation of one residential home located on one parcel of land located at 10200 Isom Ave., Hastings, FL 32145; located in the Flagler Estates (MH) (East of Creek) neighborhood.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

A4 Parcel Details.pdf

A3 Neighborhood Aerial Map.pdf

A2 Aerial Map .pdf

A1 Base Map.pdf

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

58.34(a)(12)

58.35(a)(3)(i)

Determination:

| √ | This categorically excluded activity/project converts to EXEMPT per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR |
|----------|---|
| | This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds" (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR |
| | This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)). |

Approval Documents:

10200 Isom Ave-2nd Page ERR.pdf

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

| Grant / Project Identification Number | HUD Program | Program Name |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 2022/3 | Community Planning and | Community Development Block Grants |
| | Development (CPD) | (CDBG) (Entitlement) |

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$40,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost:

\$40,000.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

| Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6 | Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required? | Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations) |
|---|---|---|
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD | DERS, AND REGULATIO | ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6 |
| Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D | □ Yes ☑ No | The project site is approximately 61.526 feet away from the closest civilian airport, Northeast Florida Regional Airport and not within 15,000 feet of a military airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Supporting documents include maps created using the St. Johns County iMap mapping application. All information accessed through the iMAp application represents the collection, creation and management of location-based information pertaining to St. Johns County, Florida; under the oversight and responsibility of the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Division of St. Johns County Government. |

| Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501] | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Florida's coastal zone spans in various costal bordering communities covering the entire State. While St John's County is contiguous to state water bodies, the project is significantly inland and not within a coastal barrier. The project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act and does not require state review. |
|--|----------------------|---|
| Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a] | ☐ Yes ☑ No | This project does not occur in a floodplain as shown in FEMA Flood Panel 12109C0465J, effective 12/07/18. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. |
| STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORI | DERS, AND REGULATION | ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5 |
| Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93 | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. |
| Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d) | □ Yes ☑ No | This project is located in a Coastal Zone, but it has been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. |
| Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)] | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive |
| Endangered Species Act | ☐ Yes ☑ No | substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. This project will have NO Effect on listed |

| particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402 | | form USAFWS dated November 29, 2023. |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C | ☐ Yes ☑ No | After reviewing available county resources, visual site review of properties in surrounding area and GIS map analysis it has been determined that, Limited Repair does not require this review The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements as the project does not include development, construction, or rehabilitation that will increase residential densities. Additionally, 24 CFR 51 Subpart C determines that above ground LPG/propane tanks with a water capacity up to 1,000 gallons that are in compliance with NFPA Code 58 (2017 are excluded from the definition of "hazard." And thereby excluded from coverage under the rule; all below ground storage containers are also excluded from coverage. |
| Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658 | ☐ Yes ☑ No | This project is located in a developed urban area and does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural land use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. |
| Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55 | □ Yes ☑ No | This project does not occur in a floodplain as shown in FEMA Flood Panel 12109C0470J, effective 12/07/18. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. |
| Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800 Noise Abatement and Control | ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. The project is modernization or minor |
| Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities | _ | rehabilitation of an existing residential property. The project will include |

| Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart | | standardized noise attenuation | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| В | | measures. The project is in compliance | |
| | | with HUD's Noise regulation. | |
| Sole Source Aquifers | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description, the | |
| Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as | | project consists of activities that are | |
| amended, particularly section | | unlikely to have an adverse impact on | |
| 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149 | | groundwater resources. The project is in | |
| | | compliance with Sole Source Aquifer | |
| | | requirements. | |
| Wetlands Protection | ☐ Yes ☑ No | Based on the project description this | |
| Executive Order 11990, particularly | | project includes no activities that would | |
| sections 2 and 5 | | require further evaluation under this | |
| | | section. The project is in compliance | |
| | | with Executive Order 11990. | |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act | ☐ Yes ☑ No | The project does not include any | |
| Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, | | activities beyond acquisition, leasing, or | |
| particularly section 7(b) and (c) | | rehabilitation of existing buildings. This | |
| | | project does not impact any wild or | |
| | | scenic river as it is not located near any. | |
| HUD HC | OUSING ENVIRONMEN | ITAL STANDARDS | |
| ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE | | | |
| Environmental Justice | ☐ Yes ☑ No | No adverse environmental impacts were | |
| Executive Order 12898 | | identified in the project's total | |
| | | environmental review. The project is in | |
| | | compliance with Executive Order 12898. | |

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

| Law, | Mitigation Measure or Condition | Comments on | Mitigation | Complete |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Authority, | | Completed | Plan | |
| or Factor | | Measures | | |

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

| General policy | Legislation | Regulation |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|
| It is HUD's policy to apply standards to | | 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D |
| prevent incompatible development | | |
| around civil airports and military airfields. | | |

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is approximately 61.526 feet away from the closest civilian airport, Northeast Florida Regional Airport and not within 15,000 feet of a military airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. Supporting documents include maps created using the St. Johns County iMap mapping application. All information accessed through the iMAp application represents the collection, creation and management of location-based information pertaining to St. Johns County, Florida; under the oversight and responsibility of the Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Division of St. Johns County Government.

Supporting documentation

A12 Airport Hazards Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|
| HUD financial assistance may not be | Coastal Barrier Resources Act | |
| used for most activities in units of the | (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by | |
| Coastal Barrier Resources System | the Coastal Barrier Improvement | |
| (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations | Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501) | |
| on federal expenditures affecting the | | |
| CBRS. | | |

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

✓ No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Florida's coastal zone spans in various costal bordering communities covering the entire State. While St John's County is contiguous to state water bodies, the project is significantly inland and not within a coastal barrier. The project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act and does not require state review.

Supporting documentation

A14 Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS) Mapper(1).pdf
A13 CBRS Map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Flood Insurance

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be | Flood Disaster | 24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) |
| used in floodplains unless the community participates | Protection Act of 1973 | and 24 CFR 58.6(a) |
| in National Flood Insurance Program and flood | as amended (42 USC | and (b); 24 CFR |
| insurance is both obtained and maintained. | 4001-4128) | 55.1(b). |

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

A26 FEMA Map.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain as shown in FEMA Flood Panel 12109C0465J, effective 12/07/18. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

A26 FEMA Map(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| The Clean Air Act is administered | Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et | 40 CFR Parts 6, 51 |
| by the U.S. Environmental | seq.) as amended particularly | and 93 |
| Protection Agency (EPA), which | Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC | |
| sets national standards on | 7506(c) and (d)) | |
| ambient pollutants. In addition, | | |
| the Clean Air Act is administered | | |
| by States, which must develop | | |
| State Implementation Plans (SIPs) | | |
| to regulate their state air quality. | | |
| Projects funded by HUD must | | |
| demonstrate that they conform | | |
| to the appropriate SIP. | | |

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

A11 Air Quality US EPA Green Book FL Whole or Part County Nonattainment Status.pdf

A10 Air Quality US EPA Green Book Nitrogen Dioxide (1971).pdf

A9 Air Quality US EPA Green Book Carbon Monoxide (1971).pdf

A8 Air Quality US EPA Green Book Lead (2008).pdf

A7 Air Quality US EPA Green Book Sulfu Dioxide (2010).pdf

A5 Air Quality US EPA Green Book 8 Hour Air Ozone (2015).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Federal assistance to applicant | Coastal Zone Management | 15 CFR Part 930 |
| agencies for activities affecting | Act (16 USC 1451-1464), | |
| any coastal use or resource is | particularly section 307(c) | |
| granted only when such | and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and | |
| activities are consistent with | (d)) | |
| federally approved State | | |
| Coastal Zone Management Act | | |
| Plans. | | |

| 1. | Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state |
|---------|---|
| Coastal | Management Plan? |

| ✓ | Yes |
|---|-----|
| | No |

2. Does this project include new construction, conversion, major rehabilitation, or substantial improvement activities?

| ✓ | Yes |
|---|-----|
| | No |

3. Has this project been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program?

| ✓ | Yes, | without | mitigation |
|---|------|---------|------------|
|---|------|---------|------------|

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes, with mitigation

No, project must be canceled.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a Coastal Zone, but it has been determined to be consistent with the State Coastal Management Program. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

A14 Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS) Mapper.pdf A13 CBRS Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulations |
|---|-------------|-------------------|
| It is HUD policy that all properties that are being | | 24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) |
| proposed for use in HUD programs be free of | | 24 CFR 50.3(i) |
| hazardous materials, contamination, toxic | | |
| chemicals and gases, and radioactive | | |
| substances, where a hazard could affect the | | |
| health and safety of the occupants or conflict | | |
| with the intended utilization of the property. | | |

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

- ✓ None of the Above.
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
 - √ No

Explain:

There are no onsite or nearby toxic hazardous or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

A34 Contamination and Toxic Substances Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

| General requirements | ESA Legislation | Regulations |
|--|---------------------|-------------|
| Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) | The Endangered | 50 CFR Part |
| mandates that federal agencies ensure that | Species Act of 1973 | 402 |
| actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out | (16 U.S.C. 1531 et | |
| shall not jeopardize the continued existence of | seq.); particularly | |
| federally listed plants and animals or result in | section 7 (16 USC | |
| the adverse modification or destruction of | 1536). | |
| designated critical habitat. Where their actions | | |
| may affect resources protected by the ESA, | | |
| agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife | | |
| Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries | | |
| Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services"). | | |

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have NO Effect on listed species based on a Clearance to proceed with HUD Loan Project Requests Letter form USAFWS dated November 29, 2023.

Supporting documentation

A15 Consistency Letter US Fish and Wildlife.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| HUD-assisted projects must meet | N/A | 24 CFR Part 51 |
| Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) | | Subpart C |
| requirements to protect them from | | |
| explosive and flammable hazards. | | |

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

| ✓ | No |
|---|-----|
| | Yes |

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

| ✓ | No | |
|---|-----|---|
| | | Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. |
| Υ | 'es | |

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

After reviewing available county resources, visual site review of properties in surrounding area and GIS map analysis it has been determined that, Limited Repair does not require this review The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements as the project does not include development, construction, or rehabilitation that will increase residential densities. Additionally, 24 CFR 51 Subpart C determines that above ground LPG/propane tanks with a water capacity up to 1,000 gallons that are in compliance with NFPA Code 58 (2017 are excluded from the definition of "hazard." And thereby excluded from coverage under the rule; all below ground storage containers are also excluded from coverage.

Supporting documentation

A22 HUD Fact Sheet Final Propane Rule - 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C.pdf

A21 Storage Tanks within 1 Mile Radius.pdf

A20 Storage Tank Contamination Monitoring.pdf

A19 Storage Tank Analysis.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Vρ

Farmlands Protection

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| The Farmland Protection | Farmland Protection Policy | 7 CFR Part 658 |
| Policy Act (FPPA) discourages | Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 | |
| federal activities that would | et seq.) | |
| convert farmland to | | |
| nonagricultural purposes. | | |

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes



If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a developed urban area and does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural land use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

A24 Soil Survey Farmland.pdf A23 Zoning Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

| General Requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Executive Order 11988, | Executive Order 11988 | 24 CFR 55 |
| Floodplain Management, | | |
| requires federal activities to | | |
| avoid impacts to floodplains | | |
| and to avoid direct and | | |
| indirect support of floodplain | | |
| development to the extent | | |
| practicable. | | |

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

A26 FEMA Map.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain as shown in FEMA Flood Panel 12109C0470J, effective 12/07/18. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

A26 FEMA Map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|
| Regulations under | Section 106 of the | 36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic |
| Section 106 of the | National Historic | Properties" |
| National Historic | Preservation Act | https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF |
| Preservation Act | (16 U.S.C. 470f) | R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36- |
| (NHPA) require a | | vol3-part800.pdf |
| consultative process | | |
| to identify historic | | |
| properties, assess | | |
| project impacts on | | |
| them, and avoid, | | |
| minimize, or mitigate | | |
| adverse effects | | |

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

- No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)
- ✓ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].
 Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

Request for review was submitted to the Florida State Historic Preservation Office on October 5, 2023. There was no determination for need for additional review of the submitted project/project site address.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

A29b Miccosukee Tribe Request.pdf

A29 Coushatta Tribe Request.pdf

A28 Clearinghouse Review Request.pdf

A-27 State Historic Preservation Office Request.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

| General require | ments | Legislation | Regulation |
|------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| HUD's noise regulation | ns protect | Noise Control Act of 1972 | Title 24 CFR 51 |
| residential properties | from | | Subpart B |
| excessive noise expos | ure. HUD | General Services Administration | |
| encourages mitigation | as | Federal Management Circular | |
| appropriate. | | 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at | |
| | | Federal Airfields" | |

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

✓ Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For modernization projects in all noise zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details. The definition of "modernization" is determined by program office guidance.

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is modernization or minor rehabilitation of an existing residential property. The project will include standardized noise attenuation measures. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

A32 DNL Calculator.pdf

A31b FAA Airport Master Record (Noise).pdf

A31 Airport Noise Contours.pdf

A30 Potential Noise Generator Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 | Safe Drinking Water | 40 CFR Part 149 |
| protects drinking water systems | Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. | |
| which are the sole or principal | 201, 300f et seq., and | |
| drinking water source for an area | 21 U.S.C. 349) | |
| and which, if contaminated, would | | |
| create a significant hazard to public | | |
| health. | | |

| 1. | Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing |
|----------|---|
| building | g(s)? |

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

A33 Sole Source Acquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or | Executive Order | 24 CFR 55.20 can be |
| indirect support of new construction impacting | 11990 | used for general |
| wetlands wherever there is a practicable | | guidance regarding |
| alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's | | the 8 Step Process. |
| National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a | | |
| primary screening tool, but observed or known | | |
| wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also | | |
| be processed Off-site impacts that result in | | |
| draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands | | |
| must also be processed. | | |

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

A35 Wetlands Map NEPAssist.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act | The Wild and Scenic Rivers | 36 CFR Part 297 |
| provides federal protection for | Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), | |
| certain free-flowing, wild, scenic | particularly section 7(b) and | |
| and recreational rivers | (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c)) | |
| designated as components or | | |
| potential components of the | | |
| National Wild and Scenic Rivers | | |
| System (NWSRS) from the effects | | |
| of construction or development. | | |

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project does not include any activities beyond acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of existing buildings. This project does not impact any wild or scenic river as it is not located near any.

Supporting documentation

A36 Wild and Scenic Rivers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Environmental Justice

| General requirements | Legislation | Regulation |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Determine if the project | Executive Order 12898 | |
| creates adverse environmental | | |
| impacts upon a low-income or | | |
| minority community. If it | | |
| does, engage the community | | |
| in meaningful participation | | |
| about mitigating the impacts | | |
| or move the project. | | |

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

A18 Environmental Justice Census Tract 21101 Profile.pdf

A17 Environmental Justice Census Track.pdf

A16 Environmental Justice Screening Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes